ISE videos – scores and rationales

Level ISE1		Candidate Jaume
Criteria	**Score**	**Rationale**
Communicative effectiveness	4	Jaume's responses were fully appropriate to the questions asked. He responds very promptly and in a spontaneous manner; there is no hesitation evident. He does not actually involve the examiner until the end of the topic section when he asks about the examiner's experiences, but the conversation still feels perfectly natural.
Interactive listening	4	The examiner has no need to repeat or clarify any of her questions and Jaume's responses indicate that he has understood the gist of the question and detail where necessary. When Jaume needs time to consider a point, he makes use of very natural sounding strategies e.g. ' *Well….*" "*I don't know, maybe.*"
Language control	4	Jaume demonstrates a good range for this level and his language is more than sufficient to provide clear answers and points. Despite very occasional slips, there is a high level of accuracy and minor errors that do occur, do not impede understanding. There is a good coverage of level functional language: opinions appropriately, expresses obligation etc. Some collocations are also used effectively '*I like to think.*" '*keep active'*. His lexical range is more than sufficient to maintain the conversation and there are many examples of non- common words and phrases, particularly on the topic e.g. hooks and rods etc.
Delivery	3	Jaume occasionally requires attentive listening: L1 phoneme intrusion is evident at times, particularly on vowel sounds, when he sometimes shortens longer English sounds e.g. on '*harbour*'. Stress and intonation however remains strong and pausing and hesitation are appropriate to intended meaning.
Independent listening	4	This presented Jaume with no problems in either section. All questions in Section 1 were answered correctly. In part 2, he identified correctly 7 pieces of information, and expressed these in a manner that confirmed his understanding. He replied to the two examiner questions promptly (one was a repeat of previously given information).